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Generally, there is confusion as to what constitutes TQM, though it can be regarded as a set of concepts and tools for getting all employees focused on continuous improvement, various schools of thought have defined or classified the critical success factors as constructs, concepts and principles. Whereas a concept may be defined essentially as a business philosophy, a company ideal or a policy statement, the confusion in the terminology can lead to uncertainty, as noted by several authors. Furthermore what might be called core values such as customer focus, continuous improvement, or process orientation are one and the same thing as principles; dimensions; elements; or cornerstones and interventions. There is an obvious omission of a practical methodology of understanding the stated terminology. The main aim of this paper is to provide a practical approach for understanding the quality management terminology. Using the existing quality management measurement instruments available in literature, the terminology used is classified into constructs, practices and finally tools or techniques. Quality Management may then be viewed as a combination of the three sets of terminology. These four dimensions were deemed to be interrelated and mutually support each other. Drawing on the quantitative study which investigated the implementation of TQM within the SME, the critical factors of TQM as used in that study as used to demonstrate the practical approach or methodology for the understanding of the terms thus used. Having classified the broad areas of where SMEs can align their TQM applications, the study indicates that constructional related SMEs can align their TQM application into one of the following areas; Customer Oriented TQM, Supplier Oriented TQM; HRM Oriented TQM; or Process Oriented. For the benefit of practitioners within the Construction Management field, a bit of clarity is required as regards the terminology used. This study contributes to clarifying the conflicting results being reported in the quality management literature which inevitably leads to having different levels of analysis of Quality Management. Accordingly, the strength of quality management compared with other business philosophies should then focus on the practical methodology, namely the practices and techniques.
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INTRODUCTION

The TQM literature is inundated with articles related to the identification of critical success factors of TQM (Saraph et al., 1989; Mann and Kehoe, 1995; Flynn et al., 1994; Black and Porter, 1996; Huq and Stolen, 1998; Yusof and Aspinwall, 2000a; 2000b; 2000c; and 2001), other implementation-related issues, and the identification of...
of links between TQM factors and performance within manufacturing and service industries. Sousa and Voss (2002) organised and reviewed Quality Management research in the following five key areas as: (1) the definition of quality management; (2) the definition of product quality; (3) the impact of quality management on firm performance; (4) quality management in the context of management theory and; (5) implementation of quality management. A comprehensive study by Sila and Ebrahimpour (2002) also identified the generally accepted areas of TQM such research as related to issues in the implementation of TQM (Porter and Parker, 1993; Sommerville and Sulaiman, 1997; Maritnez-Lorente et al., 2004; Samson and Terziovski, 1999a, 1999b; and Al-Khalifa and Aspinwall, 2000.) However it is evident that there is a lack of a definitive methodology for the deployment of the associated constructs, in particular, the practice and its underlying tools and techniques regardless of TQM implementation or not. Therefore, this paper aims to provide a practical approach for understanding the quality management terminology. The objectives of this paper and the data for the quantitative studies are presented in three main sections each corresponding to a specific objective as follows;(1) to classify the quality management terminology into constructs, practices and tools or techniques based on existing quality management instruments; (2) to demonstrate how the terminology thus identified can by combined into Quality Management; and (3) to illustrate the deployment of the methodology thus developed within UK Construction-related SMEs.

Classification of Quality Management (QM) Terminology

From the theoretical development viewpoint, the constructs or concepts can be defined as abstractions in the theoretical domain that express similar characteristics (e.g. construction effectiveness, executive commitment and organisation culture). For clarity purposes and to demonstrate the generally accepted confusion throughout this paper, a wide range of terminology will be used. Generally, there is confusion as to what constitutes TQM, though it can be regarded as a set of concepts and tools for getting all employees focused on continuous improvement. A concept may be defined essentially as a business philosophy, a company ideal or a policy statement (Nilsson et al., 2001). Confusion in the terminology can lead to uncertainty, as noted by Hellsten and Klefsjö (2000). They further argue that what might be called core values such as customer focus, continuous improvement, or process orientation are one and the same thing as principles (Sitkin et al., 1994), dimensions, elements or cornerstones (Waldman, 1994) and interventions (Hackman and Wageman, 1995). Escrig-Tena (2004) deduced that four dimensions represent a minimum common denominator of TQM principles and practices. Similarly, Forza and Filippini (1998) identified the five concepts which constitute TQM levers while Montes et al. (2003) classified the elements considered among academics and practitioners as to which elements implemented in the organisation when TQM is set up and classified them into five large blocks. These different wide range of terminologies range from concepts (Forza and Filippini, 1998); Elements (Montes et al., 2003) to dimensions (Escrig-Tena, 2004). For example whereas Forza and Filippini (1998) concept of 'human resources' is no different from the element of 'human resource management' by Montes et al. (2003) and the dimension of 'focus on people' by Escrig-Tena (2004). The above terminologies are grounded in the following Principles of Quality Philosophers such Deming; Juran; Crosby and Ishikawa. These are; Top Management; Commitment; Employee Involvement; Supplier Participation; and Quality Program.
Similarities in the Definitions of Constructs

Table 1.0 clearly establishes the compatibility of the Quality Management concepts used by Powell (1995) and the key drivers of change advocated by Egan (1998; 2002).

Table 1.0: The Compatibility of Powell constructs and the requirements advocated by Egan (1988; 2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Commitment</td>
<td>&quot;Committed Leadership&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Focus</td>
<td>&quot;Focus on the Customer&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplier Focus</td>
<td>&quot;Product Team Integration&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Organisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopting the Quality Philosophy</td>
<td>&quot;Quality-Driven Agenda&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmarking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Defects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>&quot;Commitment to People&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Empowerment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A comparative analysis of some of the issues contained in Table 1.0 is now provided to further corroborate the link between the measurement instrument used by Powell (1995) and Egan (1998, 2002)

Executive Commitment / "Committed Leadership"

Both the Powell (1995) Instrument and Egan (1998; 2002) are concerned with having an effective management support in for the quality initiatives. The requirements incorporate the 3c's defined as; commitment, championing; and communication

The Powell (1995) instrument considers all of the above and requires a top executive decision to commit fully to a quality program, actively champion the quality and communicate a quality commitment to employees. This is very much in line with Egan's (1998) committed leadership which is about management believing in and being totally committed to driving forward an agenda for improvement and communicating the required cultural and operational changes throughout the whole of the organisation. (Egan, 1998). Despite the different terminology used in describing Quality Management, there is a striking similarity in the definition of the constructs. For example, Powell (1995) provides the following definition of Executive Commitment as "A near-evangelical, unwavering, long-term commitment by top managers to the philosophy, usually under a name something like TQM", whereas Egan (1998) describes one of the Key Drivers of Change, Committed Leadership as; "Committed Leadership is about management believing in and being totally committed to driving forward an agenda for improvement and communicating the required cultural and operational changes throughout the whole of the organisation". Similarly, for the concept of Continuous Improvement, Powell (1995) offers the following: "A system in place to stop defects as they occur, rather than through inspection and rework", which is very much similar to Egan's (1998) Quality Driven Agenda; "Quality means the total package exceeding customer expectations and providing real service"

RESEARCH METHOD

This paper presents part of the findings of a major research project that investigated the application of Total Quality Management within Small and Medium Sized construction-related in the UK. The research method had the aim of investigating the practitioner's understanding of total quality management terminology in small and
medium construction companies. The Principal aim of this paper is to provide a practical approach for understanding the quality management terminology. Hence the focus of the paper is of a development than a research orientation. Using a triangulation approach of data collection, the existing quality management instruments are reviewed and the different terminologies as used by various authors to classify the critical success factors of QM are classified into concepts, principles, tool and philosophies. The demonstration of a valid practical methodology for the deployment of the identified terminology is then provided. This is achieved through the empirical demonstration that TQM can in fact be implemented through the operationalisation of constructs found in literature and grounded in the principles of TQM as advocated by the Quality gurus and current excellence models.

DATA FOR THE INVESTIGATION

The second part of the questionnaire was designed to identify the critical success factors and was based on Powell (1995). This has 34 variables (X1 through X34) based on the ten deployment constructs (F1 through F10) as illustrated in Table 2.0 (Appendix A).

SURVEY METHODOLOGY AND SAMPLING PROCEDURE

The sample for the study consisted of 350 UK construction-related organisations randomly selected from the FAME database. A total of 82 organisations responded giving a response rate of 23%. Nineteen of the responses were unusable due to incomplete data. The quantitative analyses refereed to in this paper are based on the remaining 63 organisations. To measure the extent of TQM deployment, respondents were asked to rate the extent to which their implementation of the quality features was implemented on a five-point scale, where 1 = have not begun implementation but intend to and 5 = highly advanced in implementation. The rate of deployment of each construct (F1 to F10) is the average score of its associated measures. For example for the first construct F1, "Executive Commitment" it would be X1 through X3 whereas for "Adopting the Philosophy" it would be X4 to X6 as shown in Table 2.0, Appendix A.

DEMONSTRATION OF THE TRIPATE METHODOLOGY

Practical Approach

The main steps in applying the practical approach for understanding the quality management terminology are summarised as a flow diagram shown in Figure 1.0. Issues in applying the methodology in each of the steps of Figure 1.0 are addressed in the following three steps namely the identification of the critical success factors (The "What"). This is achieved through an extensive examination of quality management measurement instruments using the literature review. The second step was concerned with the identification of the practices, namely the "how". The examples of the items making up the practices are shown in Table 2 as (X1 to X34). Finally the third step was the identification of the tools and techniques ("what").
TQM in small and medium construction companies

Currently, they are over 100 tools and techniques which organisations can utilise in their quest for the quality deployment. For example, the practice of "Process Management" can rely on the Six-Sigma concept which is concerned with tackling process variability and driving out waste.

Illustration of the practitioner's understanding of quality management terminology
To illustrate this tripartite model with an example, the Quality Management Principle (A) of "Continuous Improvement" can be supported by the Practice (B) of "Process Management", which in turn can be implemented using several Techniques (C) such as "Statistical Process Control" and "Pareto Analysis". According to Sousa and Voss (2002), this has led to conflicting results being reported in the literature and may have to do with different levels of analysis of Quality Management. Accordingly, as suggested by Gustafsson et al. (2003), the strength of quality management compared with other business philosophies should focus on the practical methodology, namely the Practices (B) and Techniques (C). According to Holti et al. (2000), the concept, and the practice of Continuous Improvement (CI) though well established in manufacturing, is still relatively unfamiliar to the Construction Industry. However they observe that the theme of CI underpins the philosophy of TQM. In order to ascertain the level of Continuous Improvement within the construction processes, organisations could focus on the practices of Zero Defect (F9) and Measurement (F10) with the associated items shown in Table 2.0.

FINDINGS
The emerging picture from the quantitative study and literature review is that construction-related SMEs can align their TQM application into one of the following areas; Customer Oriented TQM; Supplier Oriented TQM; HRM Oriented TQM; and Process Oriented

Orientation towards Quality
The following constructs as used in this study addresses the issue of orientation towards quality. These are; Executive Commitment (F1), Zero Defects (F9) and Adopting the Quality Philosophy (F2). There is clear evidence that these are the most
important factors for the implementation of TQM as evidenced by the high scores achieved for both types of organisations. This is consistent with the management theory on Leadership and the teachings of the Quality Gurus like Deming (1986), Crosby (1979) and Juran (1989, 1991) who believe in such philosophies like “Quality is Free”, and “Do it Right the First Time”. Deming approaches the problem of Quality Management from a statistician's perspective "Improving quality in manufacturing through the usage of SPC". Both statements attributable PIC, Juran proposed three basic processes; quality control, quality improvement and quality planning.

**TQM Links with Customers or Customer Focus**
The TQM links with customers can be matched with the customer focus construct as used in this study. The application and importance of customer focus was recognised by both TQM and non-TQM organisations in this study. This is evident by the ranking achieved (Rank 2) for TQM deploying and (Rank 3) for non-TQM. This finding is consistent with literature. For example Tsang and Antony (2001) ranked customer focus 'first' out of the 11 factors used in their study of UK Service organisations. The fact that the study was conducted within the service organisations, drew similar results with this research conducted within Construction highlights the importance of understanding the concept of Customer Focus regardless of the industry.

**TQM Links with Suppliers or Supplier Focus**
The application of supply chain management within the industry is still slowly being implemented. In particular the focus is more towards customers than suppliers. The findings are consistent with literature on service management which notes that supplier development and management is not as critically important for service organisations as it is for manufacturing organisations, (Tsang and Antony; 2001) though creating long-term relationships can lead to increasing the competitiveness. As pointed out by Hackman and Wageman (1995), at least 50% of TQM organizations collaborate with their suppliers in some way to increase the quality of component parts.

**Process Control**
One of the concepts identified in the precepts of TQM in the tripate model illustrated in Figure 1.0 was that of Continuous Improvement. According to Sun et al. (2004), this is one of the principles of TQM. They further state that in TQM, it is that all work is a process, and problem-solving processes are a continuous cycle of opening one's mind to a wide range of possible solutions. As demonstrated earlier in this thesis, process control or process management constitutes the core elements or hard factors of TQM and the element representing them are those of Benchmarking, Measurement and Zero Defects

**Benchmarking**
Constructional related SMEs have not embraced this concept entirely. While acknowledging that it is suited for manufacturing organisations, it is recommended that they adopt at least one type of benchmarking, (be it generic) where they can learn from other industries.

**Measurement**
There is also a lack of training managers and employees in the usage and understanding of SPC aspects, despite the concerns raised by various authors such as Oakland (1993) and Dale (1994) who have argued for SPC training and education
which has a prerequisite for a successful TQM implementation, this area has been found wanting.

**Human Resources**
The human resources as used in this study is similar to Human Resources Management, and includes such constructs as 'Training and Education', Employee Empowerment', Employee Involvement as used by Sureshchandar *et al.* (2002) in their study of the service industry in India. An effective HRM system can lead to a sustained competitive advantage through the creation of knowledge stocks at individual levels, which is human capital. UK Construction-related SMEs must put more emphasis on human resources management as it plays an important role in sustaining competitive advantage through the socialising of employees (Escrig-Tena, 2004). Smith and Whittaker (1998) suggest that where SMEs do not have the capacity to employ HR and training specialists; therefore they need specialist advice which they can buy in.

**Training**
This is one area of concern between the SME's. Despite the advocated benefits of training as illustrated in the Egan (1998 and 2002) reports, constructional related organisations have been found to be slow in embracing this concept. One way forward is as suggested by Love *et al.* (2002), Organisations must integrate learning within day-to-day work processes, in such a way that they not only share knowledge and continuously improve, but also, operate efficiently in response to their changing environment. Training should be considered as primarily a vehicle for implementing and reinforcing quality practices. The training construct used in this study focussed on management training in quality principles, employee training in quality principles, problem-solving skills and training in teamwork. This according to Lemak and Reed (2000) is the usage of training for a myriad of other purposes. The training issues are not only applicable to SMEs, but large firms as well. McCabe (2004) argues that training should go beyond the norm of 'on the job' supplemented by educational courses, but through further development of people.

**Open Organisation**
An earlier study (Chileshe, 2004) showed that there was evidence of a strong culture environment among the non-TQM organisations based on the mean scores. For non-TQM this was ranked second, as compared to the TQM deploying organisations which achieved the 5th rank.

**Employee Empowerment**
The involvement of employees in designing and planning, an active employee suggestion system including autonomy in decision-making can advance and help the implementation of TQM. These "hard" factors such as usage of graphs and charts to measure and monitor quality would help employees progress their Quality Initiatives and Zero Defects.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS**
The current study suggests a number of implications and recommendations for further research. These are discussed as follows;
Exploration of the Concepts of Product Quality
The study has primarily been conducted within the UK Construction Industry and focussed on the provision of a practical approach for understanding the quality management terminology. Future research would benefit from exploring the product quality to the application of TQM.

Need for an Investigation of SPC tools applicable to Construction-Related SMEs
The study also establishes a need for an investigation into the application of SPC between constructional related SME’s. This is arises from the fact that as there are over 100 methods of statistical control (Kanji and Asher, 1996), these could be narrowed down so that specific methods are inferred from the different types of business activities and common methods be highlighted e.g. pareto charts could be used by contractors (on site) and suppliers.

Contribution of Core and Infrastructure Elements.
Future research could extends the work of Flynn et al., (1994); Anderson et al., (1995); Dow et al., (1999); Samson and Terziowski, (1999a) and Wilson and Collier, (2000); by allowing for the separation of direct effects of infrastructure practices on performance from indirect effects of these through the core practices. The main contribution to be made, would be related to the identification of a theoretical justification of the influence TQM has on business and organisational performance and the existence of a factorial structure that differentiates the soft and hard factors in the assessment of a TQM initiative. This is only achievable through developments of valid methodologies for understanding the quality management concepts, principles, tools and philosophies.

CONCLUSIONS
This paper has provided a practical approach for understanding the quality management terminology through an investigation of the practitioner's understanding of TQM in small and medium construction companies. Drawing on some of the existing quality management measurement instruments, the paper highlighted the need for focussing on the Practices and Techniques in the quest for the deployment of quality management within UK Construction-Related SMEs. For the benefit of practitioners within the Construction Management field, a bit of clarity is required as regards the terminology used. This study contributes to clarifying the conflicting results being reported in the quality management literature which inevitably leads to having different levels of analysis of Quality Management. Accordingly, the strength of quality management compared with other business philosophies should then focus on the practical methodology, namely the practices and techniques.

The study demonstrated how the five concepts as identified by Forza and Filippin (1998), and the five large blocks or elements (Montes et al., 2003) and the four dimensions as advocated by Escrig-Tena (2004) are similar. According to Lee (2004), the third and fourth requirements are heavily related to the relationships with customers and suppliers. Accordingly, in their relationships, the SMEs may be at a disadvantage to large organisations because they do not have as many resources or as much influence. This study has classified the broad areas of where SMEs can align their TQM applications by matching the five concepts which constitute TQM levers, building blocks and four dimensions.
The study through its objectives has offered a comprehensive and yet simple methodology for scientifically examining how the multitude of precepts, Concepts (A) and Practices (B) involved in Quality Management can be structured into a systematic framework as shown in Figure 1.0 for the development of an empirical understanding of TQM. Further understanding of the relationships between the indicants can be achieved through the usage of fine grained methods such as Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). The study through the second objective which was to classify the quality management terminology into constructs, practices and tools or techniques based on existing quality management instruments, has through the testing the existing instrument to measure Quality Management practice or dimensions, typically developed using samples of large companies in well developed industry such as construction, but in a less well studied context such as SMEs. Furthermore, the study extends the work of Sousa and Voss (2002). Additionally, this is the only research that has focussed exclusively on construction, and in particular SMEs. The empirical validation of the concepts thus identified in Chileshe (2004) strives to enrich the subject of theory building in view of the scarcity of empirical research works in constructional related literature. This contributes towards producing contingency knowledge.
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APPENDIX A:

Table 2.0: Sample of Questionnaire used in the Quantitative Study. Respondents should indicate their implementation of the quality features given below based on a five-point Likert scale (5 = highly advanced in implementation; 1 = have not begun implementation but intend to).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>F1. Executive Commitment</strong></td>
<td>X1 = A top executive decision to commit fully to a quality program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X2 = Top executives actively championing our quality program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X3 = Executives actively communicating a quality commitment to employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F2. Adopting the philosophy</strong></td>
<td>X4 = Quality principles included in our mission and vision statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X5 = An overall theme based on our quality program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X6 = Entering a European Quality Foundation Model (EFQM) Award competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F3. Closer to customers</strong></td>
<td>X7 = Increasing the organisation’s direct personal contacts with customer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X8 = Actively seeking customer inputs to determine their requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X9 = Using customer requirements as the basis for quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X10 = Involving customers in product or service design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F4. Closer to supplier</strong></td>
<td>X11 = Working more closely with suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X12 = Requiring suppliers to meet stricter quality specifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X13 = Requiring suppliers to adopt a quality program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F5. Benchmarking</strong></td>
<td>X14 = An active competitive benchmarking program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X15 = Researching best practices of other organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X16 = Visiting other organisations to investigate best practices first hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F6. Training</strong></td>
<td>X17 = Management training in quality principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X18 = Employee training in quality principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X19 = Employee training in problem-solving skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X20 = Employee training in teamwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F7. Open Organisation</strong></td>
<td>X21 = A more open, trusting organisational culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X22 = Less bureaucracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X23 = Use of empowered work teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F8. Employee empowerment</strong></td>
<td>X24 = Increased employee involvement in design and planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X25 = A more active employee suggestion system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X26 = Increased employee autonomy in decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X27 = Increased employee interaction with customers and suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F9. Zero Defects</strong></td>
<td>X28 = An announced goal of zero-defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X29 = A program for continuous reduction in defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X30 = A plan to drastically reduce rework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F10. Measurement</strong></td>
<td>X31 = Measurement of quality performance in all areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X32 = Valid charts and graphs to measure and monitor quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X33 = Appropriate statistical methods to measure and monitor quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X34 = Employee training in Statistical methods for measuring and improving quality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>